arXiv:1409.5102v1 [math.GR] 17 Sep 2014

A FAMILY OF FUSION SYSTEMS RELATED TO THE GROUPS $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$ AND $\mathrm{G}_2(p^a)$

CHRIS PARKER AND GERNOT STROTH

Abstract. A family of exotic fusion systems generalizing the group fusion systems on Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{G}_2(p^a)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_4(p^a)$ is constructed.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will construct an infinite series of exotic fusion systems. More precisely for each prime $p \geq 5$ we build an exotic fusion system on a p-group which contains an extraspecial p-group of order p^{p-2} of index p (see Proposition 3.5). The catalyst for this construction came from the authors' investigation of groups G that contain a subgroup H which is an automorphism group of a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p, such that $|G : H|$ is coprime to p [5]. In [5, Chapter 16] we apply the results of this article to extend the main theorem of [7] to groups of rank two with some exceptions related to the fact that the fusion systems constructed in this article are exotic. A thorough discussion of fusion systems is presented in [2].

Our construction of the exotic fusion systems develops in two phases. First for an arbitrary finite field $\mathbb{F}$, we define a group P that generalizes the structure of the normalizer of a root subgroup in $\mathrm{G}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ and show that a certain amalgam exists if and only if $\mathbb{F}$ has prime order p and $O_p(P)$ is extraspecial of order p^{p-2}. In the second phase, we show that the fusion system determined by the free amalgamated product of the amalgam is saturated and exotic. The smallest of the amalgams is for $p = 5$ on a Sylow 5-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(5)$ and has the sporadic group Co_1 as a completion; however the fusion systems do not coincide.

2. The amalgams

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$, $\mathbb{F}[X, Y]$ be the polynomial algebra in two commuting variables and V_m the $(m + 1)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}[X, Y]$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials of degree $p - 1 \geq m \geq 1$. Set $L = \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Then, for $(t, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)) \in L$, we define an action of this element on V_m via

$$X^a Y^b \cdot (t, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)) = t(\alpha X + \beta Y)^a (\gamma X + \delta Y)^b$$

where $a + b = m$. Since $m < p$, V_m is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}L$-module [3].

Define a bilinear function β_m on V_m by setting

$$\beta_m(X^a Y^b, X^c Y^d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq d \\ \frac{(-1)^a}{\binom{m}{a}} & \text{if } a = d \end{cases}$$

and extending bilinearly.

Lemma 2.1. *The following hold:*

(i) β_m *is alternating if and only if* m *is odd.*
(ii) β_m *is non-degenerate.*
(iii) β_m *is preserved up to scalars by* L *and the scale factor of an element* $(t, A) \in L$ *is* $t^2(\det A)^m$.

Proof. Since the matrix associated with β_m has zeros everywhere other than on the anti-diagonal, β_m is non-degenerate and alternating if and only if m is odd.

Let $G = \{(1, A) \mid A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})\}$. We will show that β_m is G-invariant. For this exercise we forget the first factor of the elements of G and simply work with matrices. We also suppress β_m. It suffices to prove that the form is invariant under a set of generators of G. So observe that

$$G = \langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \rangle.$$

Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (X^aY^b \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right), X^cY^d \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)) &= ((\lambda X)^a(\lambda^{-1}Y)^b, (\lambda X)^c(\lambda^{-1}Y)^d) \\ &= \lambda^{a-b+c-d}(X^aY^b, X^cY^d). \end{aligned}$$

Since (X^aY^b, X^cY^d) is only non-zero when $a = d$ (so $b = c$), the form is invariant under these elements. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (X^aY^b \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), X^cY^d \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)) &= (Y^a(-X)^b, Y^c(-X)^d) \\ &= (-1)^{b+d}(X^bY^a, X^dY^c). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is non-zero if and only if $b = c$ (so $a = d$). Hence the final term is

$$(-1)^{b+d}(X^bY^a, X^dY^c) = \frac{(-1)^{b+b+d}}{\binom{m}{b}} = \frac{(-1)^a}{\binom{m}{m-b}} = \frac{(-1)^a}{\binom{m}{a}} = (X^aY^b, X^cY^d)$$

as required.

Finally we consider

$$\begin{aligned} (X^aY^b \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), X^cY^d \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)) &= (X^a(X+Y)^b, X^c(X+Y)^d) \\ &= (\sum_{j=0}^{b} \binom{b}{j} X^{a+j}Y^{b-j}, \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} X^{c+(d-k)}Y^k) \\ &= \sum_{j=0, a+j=k}^{b} (-1)^{a+j} \frac{\binom{b}{j}\binom{d}{k}}{\binom{m}{a+j}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0, a+j=k}^{b} (-1)^{a+j} \frac{b!d!(a+j)!(m-a-j)!}{(b-j)!j!(d-k)!k!m!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0, a+j=k}^{b} (-1)^{a+j} \frac{b!d!}{j!(d-k)!m!} \\ &= \frac{b!d!}{m!(d-a)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d-a} (-1)^{a+j} \frac{(d-a)!}{j!(d-a-j)!} \\ &= \frac{b!d!}{m!(d-a)!} \sum_{j=0}^{d-a} (-1)^{a+j} \binom{d}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the final term here is zero unless $d = a$ in which case

$$\frac{b!d!}{m!(d-a)!}\sum_{j=0}^{d-a}(-1)^{a+j}\binom{d}{j} = (-1)^a\frac{(m-a)!a!}{m!} = \frac{(-1)^a}{\binom{m}{a}}$$

as required to show that the form is invariant. This establishes (ii).

Given (ii), to prove (iii), we note that the matrix $(t, \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right))$ scales the form by $t^2 \det \lambda^m$. □

From now on suppose that both p and m are odd. The construction of the group which will turn out to be $O_p(P)$ only requires that β_m is a non-degenerate alternating form. Set

$$Q = V_m \times \mathbb{F}^+$$

and define a binary operation on Q by

$$(v, y)(w, z) = (v + w, y + z + \beta_m(v, w))$$

for $(v, y), (w, z) \in Q$. Then, as β_m is alternating, $\beta_m(v, v) = 0$ and so Q is a group.

Lemma 2.2. *The following statements hold.*

(i) *If $(v, y) \in Q$, then $C_Q((v, y)) = \{(w, z) \mid w \in v^\perp, z \in \mathbb{F}\}$.*

(ii) *The p-group Q is special with*

$$Z(Q) = \{(0, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\} = Q' = \Phi(Q).$$

Proof. Let $(w, z) \in C_Q((v, y))$. Then

$$(w, z)(v, y) = (w + v, z + y + \beta_m(w, v))$$

and

$$(v, y)(w, z) = (v + w, y + z + \beta_m(v, w)).$$

Since β_m is alternating, we see that these two equation are equal if and only if $\beta_m(v, w) = 0$. Thus (i) holds and, as β_m is non-degenerate, we have $Z(Q) = \{(0, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$.

Plainly $Q/Z(Q)$ is abelian of exponent p. Hence to prove (ii), we just need to show that $Q' \geq Z(Q)$. So we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}[(v, y), (w, z)] &= (-v, -y)(-w, -z)(v, y)(w, z)\\ &= (-v - w, -y - z + \beta_m(y, z))(v + w, y + z + \beta_m(y, z))\\ &= (0, 2\beta(y, z)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) follows as p is odd.

□

For $(t, A) \in L$ and $(v, z) \in Q$ define

$$(v, z)^{(t,A)} = (t(v \cdot A), t^2(\det A)^m z).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}((v, y)(w, z))^{(t,A)} &= (v + w, y + z + \beta_m(v, w))^{(t,A)}\\ &= (t(v + w) \cdot A, t^2(\det A)^m)(y + z + \beta_m(v, w))\\ &= (tv \cdot A + tw \cdot A, t^2(\det A)^m y + t^2(\det A)^m z + \beta_m(tv \cdot A, tw \cdot A))\\ &= (tv \cdot A, t^2(\det A)^m y)(tw \cdot A, t^2(\det A)^m z)\\ &= (v, y)^{(t,A)}(w, z)^{(t,A)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, L acts on Q.

We define the following subgroups of L:

$$B_0 = \mathbb{F}^\times \times \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \gamma & \beta \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \gamma \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

and

$$S_0 = \{1\} \times \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

Next we form the semidirect product of Q and L and some subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} P &= P(m, \mathbb{F}) = LQ; \\ B &= B_0 Q; \text{ and} \\ S &= S_0 Q. \end{aligned}$$

Plainly $B = N_P(S)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $m < p$. Then the following hold:*

(i) $C_L(Q) = \{(\mu^{-m}, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{smallmatrix} \right)) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times\}$;
(ii) $C_Q(S_0) = \langle (\mu X^m, \lambda) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F} \rangle$; *and*
(iii) $C_{Q/Z(Q)}(S_0) = C_{Q/Z(Q)}(s) = C_Q(S_0)/Z(Q)$ *for all* $s \in S_0^\#$.

Proof. Obviously $C_L(Q) \leq Z(L)$ and so the elements are of type $(t, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{smallmatrix} \right))$ Now the action on $(X^m, 0)$ gives $(t\mu^m X^m, 0)$. Hence $t = \mu^{-m}$.

As $Q/Z(Q)$ is an irreducible L-module, we have with [9] that $C_{Q/Z(Q)}(S_0)$ is 1-dimensional and so the same applies for all $1 \neq s \in S_0$. Obviously S_0 centralizes $(X^m, 0)$, which implies (iii). As $[Z(Q), S_0] = 1$, also (ii) follows. □

So we have constructed the group P of our amalgam. Next we will construct K, which is an extension of the natural module by $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Hence we will consider K as the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of the matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \delta & \epsilon & \phi \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

with determinant 1. Let

$$C = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \theta & 0 \\ \delta & \epsilon & \theta^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid \alpha, \delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}, \theta \in \mathbb{F}^\times \right\},$$

$$D = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ \delta & \epsilon & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid \alpha, \delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

and $W = O_p(K)$. So

$$W = \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ \delta & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mid \alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ \delta & \epsilon & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha\theta^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ \delta\theta & \epsilon\theta^2 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right). \tag{1}$$

Our objective is to determine under what conditions $P/C_L(Q)$ has a subgroup, which we call W_0, such that $N_{P/Z(P)}(W_0 Z(P)/Z(P))$ is isomorphic to C in such a way that W_0 maps to W. Suppose that W_0 is such a subgroup, and let C_0 be the preimage of $N_P(W_0)$. Obviously $Z(Q) = Z(S) \leq W_0$ and, after conjugation in P, we may assume that $N_S(W_0) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(C_0)$. Suppose that $W_0 \leq Q$. Then, as Q is special by Lemma 2.2 (ii), $N_S(W_0) = Q$ and so $m = 1$. Furthermore, $W_0 = \{(u, z) \mid u \in w^\perp\}$ where x is an arbitrary member of $W_0 \setminus Z(Q)$. But then S

normalizes W_0, which is impossible as $S \not\leq C_0$, As $Z(Q) \leq W_0$, $N_S(W_0)$ contains $R = \langle (X^m, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \rangle$ which is the preimage of $C_{Q/Z(Q)}(S)$. Let $Q_0 = C_Q(R)$. Then W_0Q_0/Q_0 is normalized by $C_0Q_0/Q_0 \leq B/Q_0$. Since C_0 acts irreducibly on $W_0/Z(Q)$, $|W_0Q_0/Q_0| = p^a$ and, since B normalizes Q and Q_0S, Q_0W is diagonal to these subgroups. We intend to determine the elements of B which are candidates for the diagonal elements of C_0. Now acting on the S_0Q_0/Q_0, elements of the form $d = (t, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{smallmatrix}\right))$ normalize $\langle \lambda Y^m \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \rangle + Q_0$ and Q_0S_0. Furthermore, d acts by scaling Y^m by $t\mu^m$ and mapping $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda\mu^{-1} & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Assume that $d \in C_0$ is in the image of an element of C which acts on D as $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then the entries in d must satisfy

$$t\mu^m = \lambda\mu^{-1} = \theta^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, using Equation (1) and $(X^m)^d = t\lambda^m X_m$, we additionally require:

$$t\lambda^m = \theta^2. \tag{3}$$

There is also a third equation forced by the action of d on $Z(Q)$, but it turns out that this is dependent on the former two equations. The first equality in Equation (2) yields $t = \lambda\mu^{-m-1}$ and then combining Equation (2) and (3) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \theta^{-2}\theta^2 = t^2\mu^{2m}t\lambda^m = t^3\mu^{2m}\lambda^m \\ &= \lambda^3\mu^{-3m-3}\mu^{2m}\lambda^m = (\lambda\mu^{-1})^{m+3} = \theta^{-(m+3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since θ is an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{F}^\times$, it follows that every element of $\mathbb{F}^\times$ is an $(m+3)$rd root of unity. Thus, $\mathbb{F}$ is finite and letting $p^a = |\mathbb{F}|$, we have $p^a - 1$ divides $m + 3$. Since $m < p$ and m is odd, we deduce that $a = 1$ and either $p = 3$ and $m = 1$ or $p - 1 = m + 3$. Assume that $p = 3$ and $m = 1$. Let

$$U = \langle (1, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right))(\mu X^m, 0) \mid \gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{F} \rangle.$$

Then U has index 9 in S and U contains no non-trivial normal subgroups of S. Hence S is isomorphic to a Sylow 3-subgroup of Alt(9). In the Sylow 3-subgroup of Alt(9) we can show that every elementary abelian subgroup of order 9 is contained in the extraspecial subgroup of order 27 or has centralizer of order 27. Hence this case does not occur.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $P/C_L(Q)$ has a p-subgroup W_0 such that $N_{P/Z(P)}(W_0Z(P)/Z(P))$ is isomorphic to C in such a way that W_0 maps to W. Then $\mathbb{F}$ has prime order p and $p = m + 4$.*

So from now on suppose that $p = m + 4$ and $\mathbb{F}$ has order p. In particular, by Lemma 2.3, Q is extraspecial of order p^{p-2} and of exponent p and P is isomorphic to a subgroup of $p_+^{1+(p-3)} : \mathrm{Sp}_{p-3}(p)$ which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{p-1}(p)$. In this representation the Jordan form of a p-element of S has no blocks of size p and consequently S has exponent p. We now explicitly show that when $p = m + 4$, then C is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P/C_L(Q)$. To do this, we first write down a candidate for W_0 and then determine its normalizer.

Define

$$w(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\alpha^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} X^j Y^{m-j} \in V_m$$

and set

$$W_0 = \langle (1, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\gamma), \delta)) \mid \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F} \rangle \leq S.$$

To calculate explicitly in the extraspecial group Q, we need the following facts:

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Then*

(i) $\beta_m(\lambda X^m, w(\mu)) = -\lambda\mu$; *and*
(ii) $\beta_m(w(\lambda), w(\mu)) = \frac{(\lambda-\mu)^{m+2}-\lambda^{m+2}+\mu^{m+2}}{(m+1)(m+2)}$.

Proof. For the first part, as the coefficient of Y^m in $w(\mu)$ is α, we have

$$\beta_m(\lambda X^m, w(\mu)) = \beta_m(\lambda X^m, \mu Y^m) = -\lambda\mu.$$

For part (ii), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_m(w(\lambda), w(\mu)) &= \beta_m(\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} X^j Y^{m-j}, \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\mu^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} X^j Y^{m-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} \frac{\mu^{m-j+1}}{m-j+1} \binom{m}{m-j} \frac{(-1)^j}{\binom{m}{j}} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j+1}(-\mu)^{m+2-(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} \frac{1}{(j+1)(m+2-(j+1))} \\
&= \frac{1}{(m+1)(m+2)} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j+1}(-\mu)^{m+2-(j+1)} \binom{m+2}{j+1} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda-\mu)^{m+2}-\lambda^{m+2}+\mu^{m+2}}{(m+1)(m+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a, b, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ with a and b non-zero. Then*

$$w(\lambda)^{(1,\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ \mu & b \end{smallmatrix}\right))} = \frac{b^{m+1}}{a} \left(w\left(\frac{a\lambda+\mu}{b}\right) - w\left(\frac{\mu}{b}\right) \right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\lambda)^{(1,\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ \mu & b \end{smallmatrix}\right))} &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} X^j Y^{m-j} \right)^{(1,\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ \mu & b \end{smallmatrix}\right))} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} (aX)^j (\mu X + bY)^{m-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{(j+1)} \binom{m}{j} (aX)^j \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-j} \binom{m-j}{k} (\mu X)^k (bY)^{m-j-k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now determine the coefficient of X^eY^{m-e}:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{f=0}^{e}\frac{\lambda^{f+1}}{f+1}\binom{m}{f}a^f\binom{m-f}{e-f}\mu^{e-f}b^{m-e} &= \sum_{f=0}^{e}\frac{\lambda^{f+1}a^f\mu^{e-f}b^{m-e}}{e+1}\binom{m}{e}\binom{e+1}{f+1}\\ &= \frac{b^{m-e}}{a(e+1)}\binom{m}{e}\sum_{f=0}^{e}\lambda^{f+1}a^{f+1}\mu^{(e+1)-(f+1)}\binom{e+1}{f+1}\\ &= \frac{b^{m+1}}{a(e+1)}\binom{m}{e}\left(\left(\frac{a\lambda+\mu}{b}\right)^{e+1}-\left(\frac{\mu}{b}\right)^{e+1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$w(\lambda)^{(1,\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\ \mu&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))}=\frac{b^{m+1}}{a}\left(w\left(\frac{a\lambda+\mu}{b}\right)-w\left(\frac{\mu}{b}\right)\right),$$

as claimed.

□

One of the nice consequences of Lemma 2.6 is that W_0 is a subgroup of S.

By the discussion before Proposition 2.4, we have $N_P(W_0)Q/Q$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of

$$\{(\frac{a}{b^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\0&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))\mid a,b\in\mathbb{F}\}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.6 shows that elements of the form $(\frac{a}{b^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\0&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))(0,0)$ normalize W_0.

Lemma 2.7. *We have*

$$N_P(W_0)=\left\{(\frac{a}{b^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\b\lambda&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\lambda)+\tau X^m,\theta)\mid a,b\in\mathbb{F}^\times,\lambda,\tau,\theta\in\mathbb{F}\right\}.$$

□

In a moment we shall write down a homomorphism from $N_P(W_0)$ onto C. To check that this is a homomorphism the following remark is helpful. (Note that it uses $p=m+4$.)

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that*

$$x=(\frac{a}{b^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\b\lambda&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\lambda)+\tau X^m,\theta)$$

and

$$y=(\frac{c}{d^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}c&0\\d\mu&d\end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\mu)+\sigma X^m,\phi)$$

are elements of $N_P(W)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}xy &= (\frac{ac}{(bd)^{m+1}},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}ac&0\\cb\lambda+bd\mu&bd\end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\frac{cb\lambda+bd\mu}{bd})+(\frac{c^{m+1}}{d^{m+1}}\tau+\sigma)X^m,\\ &\quad \frac{c^{m+2}}{d^{m+2}}\theta+\phi+\left(\frac{(\frac{c\lambda}{d})^{m+2}-(\frac{c\lambda}{d}+\mu)^{m+2}+\mu^{m+2}}{(m+1)(m+2)}\right)-\frac{c^{m+1}}{d^{m+1}}\tau\mu+\frac{c\lambda\sigma}{d}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Now a straightforward calculation using Lemma 2.8 shows that the map Θ defined by

$$(ab^{-m-1},\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&0\\ \lambda&b\end{smallmatrix}\right))(w(\lambda)+\tau X^m,\theta)\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\ \frac{b}{a}\lambda&\frac{b}{a}&0\\ \frac{a}{b}(\theta+\frac{\lambda^{m+2}}{(m+1)(m+2)}-\lambda\tau)&-2\frac{a}{b}\tau&\frac{a}{b}\end{pmatrix}$$

is a surjective homomorphism from $N_P(W_0)$ to C with kernel $C_L(Q)$.

Combining the above discussion with Proposition 2.4 yields

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that p is an odd prime and $m \leq p-1$ is also odd. Set $P = P(m, \mathbb{F}) = LQ$. Then $P/C_L(Q)$ has a p-subgroup W_0 such that $N_{P/Z(P)}(W_0 Z(P)/Z(P))$ is isomorphic to C in such a way that W_0 maps to W if and only if $\mathbb{F}$ has prime order p and $p = m + 4$.*

□

Using the homomorphism Θ we can build the free amalgamated product

$$G = P/C_L(Q) *_C K.$$

3. The fusion systems

Saturated fusion systems were designed by Puig to capture the p-local properties of defect groups of p-blocks in representation theory. Given a group X and p-subgroup T, the fusion system $\mathcal{F}_T(X)$ is a category with objects the subgroups of T and, for objects P and Q, the morphisms from P to Q, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_T(X)}(P, Q)$, are the conjugation maps c_x where $x \in X$ and $P^x \leq Q$. If X is a finite group and $T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(X)$, then $\mathcal{F}_T(X)$ is saturated. An exotic fusion system is a saturated fusion system which is not $\mathcal{F}_T(X)$ for any finite group X with $T \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(X)$. For an extensive introduction to fusion systems we recommend Craven's book [2].

In this section we construct a fusion system from the free amalgamated product $G = P/C_L(Q) *_C K$. Set $P_1 = P/C_L(Q)$ and identify P_1 and K with their images in G, set $C = P_1 \cap K$ and $D = O_p(C)$. We identify subgroups of S with their images in the quotient P_1. Thus $D = S \cap K$, $Q = O_p(P_1)$ and $W = O_p(K)$. We intend to show that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is an exotic saturated fusion system. We see that $\mathcal{F}$ contains two sub-fusion systems $\mathcal{F}_S(P_1)$, $\mathcal{F}_D(K)$ and since P_1 and K are finite groups with Sylow p-subgroups S and D respectively, these fusion systems are saturated. A finite p-subgroup T of an infinite group X is a Sylow p-subgroup of X provided every finite p-subgroup of X is X-conjugate to a subgroup of T.

Lemma 3.1. *We have that S is a Sylow p-subgroup of G and*

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{F}_S(P_1), \mathcal{F}_D(K) \rangle$$

is the smallest fusion system on S which contains both $\mathcal{F}_S(P_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_D(K)$.

Proof. This follows with [6, Theorem 1]. □

The free amalgamated product G determines a graph Γ. This graph has vertices all the cosets of P_1 in G and all the cosets of K in G. Two vertices are adjacent precisely when they have non-empty intersection. By [8, Theorem 7], Γ is a tree and, by construction, G acts transitively on the edges of Γ and has two orbits on the vertices of Γ. Moreover, Γ is bi-partite. We let $\alpha = P_1$ and $\beta = K$ (vertices of Γ) and note that (α, β) is an edge. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\Gamma(\gamma)$ denotes the set of neighbours of γ in Γ. The stabiliser G_γ of γ in G is G-conjugate to either P_1 or K and, especially, $G_\alpha = P_1$ and $G_\beta = K$. Finally, we note that G_γ operates transitively on $\Gamma(\gamma)$. For a subgroup X of G denote by Γ^X the subgraph of Γ fixed vertex wise by X. Since Γ is a tree, so is Γ^X.

In the proof of part (iii) of the next lemma, we need to consider centric subgroups of $\mathcal{F}$. These are subgroups T of S such that $C_S(T\alpha) = Z(T\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_S(G)}(T, S)$. Note that centric subgroups have order at least p^2.

Lemma 3.2. *If $X \leq S$ has order at least p^2, then Γ^X is finite. Furthermore,*

(i) *X does not fix a path of length 5 with middle vertex a coset of P_1.*
(ii) *$N_G(X)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of either P_1 or K; and*
(iii) *$\mathcal{F}$ is a saturated fusion system.*

Proof. Assume that X is a p-subgroup of G of order at least p^2. Assume the path $\pi = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ of length 5 is in Γ^X with α_3 a coset of P_1. Then, as G acts edge transitively on Γ, we may conjugate X and the path π so that $\alpha_2 = \beta$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha$. Then $X \leq G_\alpha \cap G_\beta = P_1 \cap K = C$. Thus $X \leq D$ as X is a p-group. Now X also fixes α_1 and so, as any two Sylow p-subgroups of K intersect in W and X has order at least p^2, we have that $W = X$. Similarly, $X = O_p(G_{\alpha_4})$ and consequently $X = W = O_p(G_\beta) = O_p(G_{\alpha_4})$. Now G_α acts transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and so there exists $g \in G$ so that $G_{\alpha_4}^g = G_\beta$. But then g normalizes W. Since, by Lemma 2.7, $G_\beta = K \geq C = N_{P_1}(W) = N_{G_\alpha}(W)$, we see that $\beta = \alpha_4$ and this is a contradiction. This proves (i). Using (i), we see that X fixes no paths of length 6 and so $|\Gamma^X|$ is finite. In particular, if X is a centric subgroup in $\mathcal{F}$ then $|\Gamma^X|$ is finite.

Since Γ^X is finite and Γ is bipartite, we now see with [8, Corollary, page 20] that $N_G(X)$ fixes a vertex of Γ. This proves (ii).

Finally, application of [1, Corollary 3.4] yields that $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated and this is (iii). □

A subgroup X of S is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized provided $|N_S(X)| \geq |N_S(X\alpha)|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, S)$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have W is centric and fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(W, S)$ and $|N_S(W\alpha)| > |N_S(W)|$. We have that $\alpha = c_g$ for some $g \in G$ with $W^g \leq S$. Since $|N_S(W^g)| > p^3$, P_1 is the unique vertex of Γ fixed by $N_S(W^g)$. Since W^g fixes Kg and Kgh for all $h \in N_S(W^g)$, we infer that W^g fixes a path of length at least 5 with P at the middle vertex, this contradicts Lemma 3.2(i). Hence W is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized. Since $|N_S(W)| = p^3$, it also follows that W is centric. □

Lemma 3.4. *The fusion system $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is exotic.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is not exotic and let H be a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup S such that $\mathcal{F}_S(H) = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. Then there exists subgroups Q_0 and W_0 of S such that $\mathrm{Aut}_H(Q_0) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_H(W_0) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(W)$. Let $K_0 = N_H(W_0)$ and $P_0 = N_H(Q_0)$.

We may assume $O_{p'}(H) = 1$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of H. Then, as p divides $|N|$ and $Z(S)$ has order p, $Z(S) \leq N$. The action of K_0 implies $W = \langle Z(S)^{K_0} \rangle \leq N$. Hence $Z_2(S) \leq [Q, S] \leq N$ and finally $S = QW = \langle Z_2(S)^{P_1} \rangle W \leq N$. Hence $N = O^{p'}(H)$. Since $\langle S^{P_1} \rangle$ is not a p-group, N is a direct product of isomorphic non-abelian simple groups. Moreover, as $|Z(S)| = p$, N is a simple group and $C_H(N) = 1$. Therefore H is an almost simple group. We now consider the finite simple groups as given by the classification theorem.

Recall that $p \geq 5$, $|S| = p^{p-1}$ and S is of exponent p and is not abelian. In particular, H is not an alternating group.

Suppose that H is a Lie type group in characteristic p. Then, by the Borel -Tits Theorem, K is contained in some parabolic subgroup L of H and $W_0 \leq O_p(K_0) \leq$

$O_p(L)$. As W_0 is centric by Lemma 3.3 (i), $W_0 \geq Z(O_p(L))$. Since W_0 is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalized, W_0 is not normal in L and so $Z(O_p(L)) < W_0$, contrary to $K_0 \leq L$ and W_0 being a minimal normal subgroup of K_0. Hence H is not of Lie type in characteristic p

Assume that H is of Lie type in characteristic $r \neq p$. If p does not divided $|Z(\hat{H})|$, where $\hat{H}$ is the universal version of H, then application of [4, Theorem 4.10.3(e)] to W_0 shows $p = 5$ and $H = \mathrm{E}_8(r^a)$. Since $|S| = 5^4$ and 5^5 divides the order of $\mathrm{E}_8(r^a)$, this is impossible. So we may assume that p divides $|Z(\hat{H})|$. In particular $H \cong \mathrm{PSL}_n(r^a)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_n(r^a)$ and p divides $(r^a - 1, n)$ in the first case and $(r^a + 1, n)$ in the second. Therefore S contains a toral subgroup of order $p^{n-2} \geq p^{p-2}$, as p divides n. Since Q_0 is extraspecial of order p^{p-2} and $|S| = p^{p-1}$, and the largest abelian subgroup of Q_0 is of order $p^{(p-1)/2}$, we infer that $(p-1)/2 + 1 \geq p - 2$, and then $p = 5$. Thus H contains a subgroup $L \cong 5^3.\mathrm{Sym}(5)$. Since this does not embed in P_1, this is impossible.

Finally suppose that H is a sporadic simple group. Inspection of the lists in [4, Table 5.3] shows the either $H = \mathrm{Co}_1$ and $p = 5$ or $H = \mathrm{F}_1$ and $p = 7$. In the first case we again observe a subgroup $5^3.\mathrm{PGO}_3(5)$, which does not exist in $\mathcal{F}$. In the second case $\mathrm{Aut}_H(Q) \cong 6.\mathrm{Alt}(7)$ which is impossible.

We conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is exotic. □

We summarize the above result in a way that we can easily cite it in [5].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Set $P_1 = QL$, where Q is extraspecial of order p^{p-2}, $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ and L' induces the irreducible module of homogeneous polynomials in X, Y of degree $p-4$ on $Q/Z(Q)$. Then for S a Sylow p-subgroup of QL, there is an exotic fusion system on S which extends $\mathcal{F}_{QL}(S)$.*

We close the paper by remarking that (when $m = p-4$) the amalgam $B/C_L(Q) *_C K$ also provides an exotic fusion system. This example has exactly one class of essential subgroups.

References

[1] M. Clelland, Chr. Parker, Two families of exotic fusion systems, J. Algebra, 323, 2010, 287 - -304.

[2] David A. Craven, The theory of fusion systems. An algebraic approach. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 131. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[3] R. Brauer, C. Nesbitt, On the modular characters of groups, Ann. Math. 42, 1941, 556–590.

[4] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups.Number 3, Part I Chapter A, Alomst simple K-groups, Math. Survey Monogr. vol 40.3, Amer. Math. Soc. 1998.

[5] Christopher Parker, Gerald Pientka, Andreas Seidel, Gernot Stroth, Groups which are almost groups of Lie type in characteristic p, manuscript.

[6] G.R. Robinson. Amalgams, blocks, weights. fusion systems and simple groups, J. Algebra 314, 2007, 912 –923.

[7] R. Salarian, G. Stroth, Existence of strongly p-embedded subgroups, Comm. in Algebra, to appear.

[8] Serre, Jean-Pierre. Trees. Translated from the French by John Stillwell. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

[9] S. Smith, Irreducible modules and parabolic subgroups, J. Algebra 75, 1982, 286–289.

Chris Parker, School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

E-mail address: c.w.parker@bham.ac.uk

Gernot Stroth, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Halle - Wittenberg, Theodor Lieser Str. 5, 06099 Halle, Germany
E-mail address: gernot.stroth@mathematik.uni-halle.de